Dow

RANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. (General - Patent Pending) SEC.1092 In Re Application Byung-Se SO et al. Application No. Filing Date Customer No. Group Art Unit Confirmation No. Examiner 2814 10/722,159 20987 7038 26 November 2003 NGUYEN, Dilinh P. Title: MULTI-CHIP PACKAGE FOR REDUCING PARASITIC LOAD OF PIN **COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:** Transmitted herewith is: Response to Election/Restriction Requirement in the above identified application. No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-0238 as described below. Charge the amount of \mathbf{X} Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required. □ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Dated: 22 July 2005 KENNETH D. SPRINGER Reg. No. 39,843 Volentine Francos & Whitt, P.L.L.C. I hereby certify that this correspondence One Freedom Square deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope 11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260 addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box Reston, VA 20190 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on Tel. No. 571-283-0720 (Date)

cc:

P16A/REV03

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



Application No. 10/722,159

SEC.1092

Response Filed 22 July 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:

Byung-Se SO et al.

Group Art Unit: 2814

Application No.: 10/722,159

Filed: 26 November 2003

Examiner: Dilinh P. NGUYEN

MULTI-CHIP PACKAGE FOR REDUCING PARASITIC LOAD OF PIN

RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria VA 22314

Sir:

In response to "election of species" requirement contained in the Office Action dated 27 June 2005, Applicants elect the alleged Species I, identified as claims 1-4. The election is <u>with traverse</u>.

The Examiner's attention is respectfully directed to the following passages appearing in M.P.E.P. 806.04(e):

"Claims are definitions of inventions. Claims are never species.

Claims may be restricted to a single disclosed embodiment (i.e., a single species, and thus be designated a specific species claim), or a claim may include two or more of the disclosed embodiments within the breadth and scope of definition (and thus be designated a generic or genus claim)." (Emphasis in original)

Application No. 10/722,159

SEC.1092

Response Filed 22 July 2005

Here, the Examiner has improperly defined the alleged species in terms of groups of claims, instead of embodiments and Applicants thus traverse the election of species requirement as being improper. If the Examiner believes that the invention includes multiple species, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner properly identify the embodiments that correspond to the alleged species, such as by reference to the drawings and/or specification. Otherwise, Applicants respectfully request that the election of species requirement be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C.

Date: 22 July 2005

Kenneth D. Springer Registration No. 39,843

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C.

One Freedom Square 11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260 Reston, Virginia 20190

Telephone No.: (571) 283-0720

Facsimile No.: (571) 283-0740